## **Bath & North East Somerset Council**

## **Decision Register Entry**

Single Member Cabinet Decision

Executive Forward Plan Reference

E2677R

## Naming of Streets in Keynsham Redevelopment Site – Replacement Decision Following Call-in

Decision maker/s	Cllr Katie Hall, Cabinet Member for Community Integration
The Issue	The Cabinet Member is asked to reconsider her previous decision not to object to the Developer's proposals for naming two streets in the development in the light of the decision of the PT&E PDS panel decision of 25 <sup>th</sup> July 2014
Decision Date	1 <sup>st</sup> August 2014
The decision	The Cabinet Member affirms her original decision not to object to the proposed name.
Rationale for decision	I listened to the comments made at the meeting on the 25 <sup>th</sup> by all present who were concerned about my decision and carefully considered the grounds for the call-in, the officer comment on them (both contained in Appendix 4 of the report to the meeting) and the explanation put forward by the responsible Cabinet member, Councillor Bellotti.  It was clear to me that there were alternative suggestions that were not without merit. However I did not consider there was any justifiable criticism of the name proposed by the Developer or the reasoning behind the proposal and nor did I consider the name was in conflict with our Street Naming Policy.  I was clear the question I had to address was not whether there were other names that would be acceptable but whether the proposal was unacceptable. I concluded that it was acceptable and accordingly decided not to require the Developer to come forward with alternative names.
Financial and budget implications	None
Issues considered	Customer Focus; Property; Corporate
Consultation undertaken	Ward Councillors; Town Council; Overview & Scrutiny Panel; Local Residents; Section 151 Finance Officer; Chief Executive; Monitoring Officer
How consultation was carried out	Consultation was not carried out by me but by the Developer prior to their proposals being submitted.
Other options considered	The only other option available was to object to the proposals for naming the streets and for the reason set out above (under Rationale) I concluded this was not justifiable.

Signatures of Decision Maker	
Date of Signature	
This Decision is NOT subject to Call-in	